



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	MS: 2013_IJBcRR_3129
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF PHOSPHIDE-POWDER RESIDUE CONTAMINATED COWPEA ON SERUM MAGNESIUM AND TRACE ELEMENTS IN RATS.

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors should describe the content of aluminium phosphite in the diet. The effect found in this study may be caused by aluminium not by phosphite. Authors should make a diet containing the same content of aluminium without phosphite for a control.	This is a worth while contribution by the reviewer. Indeed many of the presentation observed in these rats might have been contributed by aluminium. The focus of this study though is to identify the effects of phosphide residue on exposed rats. This will be addresses in further study.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This study was not approved by an appropriate ethical committee. Therefore this study cannot be published.	Sorry for the omission. This study was carried out in conformity with national and international laws and Guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals in Biomedical Research; as promulgated and adopted by United States Institutes of Health (1985).